IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) 8:14CR19)
	VS.) DETENTION ORDER
GR	EGORY DREHER,	}
	Defendant.	}
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing Reform Act on January 29, 2014, th detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail ne Court orders the above-named defendant 2(e) and (i).
B.	conditions will reasonably assur X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	contained in the Pretrial Services Rep X (1) Nature and circumstances X (a) The crime: the methamphetamine carries a minimum maximum of forty years. (b) The offense is a criminal control of the cont	of the offense charged: e possession with intent to distribute (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) sentence of five years imprisonment and a ears imprisonment. ne of violence.
	may affect of The defend X	ant appears to have a mental condition which whether the defendant will appear. ant has no family ties in the area. ant has no steady employment. ant has no substantial financial resources. lant does not have any significant community ct of the defendant: ant has a history relating to drug abuse. ant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. ant has a significant prior criminal record. dant has a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		ease pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of ence.
	(c) Other Factor	
		defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
		ortation. defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
		ortation if convicted.
		Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement
		E) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
V	(1) The nature and c	oriousness of the danger nesed by the defendant's
		eriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's ws: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and
	the criminal history	
	•	
<u>X</u>		
	in determining that t	he defendant should be detained, the Court also relied ebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §
		Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		opearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		person and the community because the Court finds that
	the crime inv	
		A crime of violence; or
		An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
		imprisonment or death; or A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
	` ` '	penalty of 10 years or more; or
		A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
		or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
		above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
		one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above
		which is less than five years old and which was
		committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably opearance of the defendant as required and the safety
		unity because the Court finds that there is probable
	cause to beli	
	X (1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled
		substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
	(2)	10 years or more.
		That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
		U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
		in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
		if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
		weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: January 29, 2014. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge